University of New Orleans

ScholarWorks@UNO

DRU Workshop 2013 Presentations – Disaster Resistant University Workshop: Linking Mitigation and Resilience

Conferences and Workshops

3-2013

Field Planning and Data Collection Practices for Conducting Detailed Natural Hazard Vulnerability Assessments of Campus Structures

Shandy Ogea Louisiana State University

Carol Friedland Louisiana State University

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.uno.edu/dru2013

Recommended Citation

Ogea, Shandy and Friedland, Carol, "Field Planning and Data Collection Practices for Conducting Detailed Natural Hazard Vulnerability Assessments of Campus Structures" (2013). *DRU Workshop 2013 Presentations – Disaster Resistant University Workshop: Linking Mitigation and Resilience.* Paper 16. https://scholarworks.uno.edu/dru2013/16

This Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by the Conferences and Workshops at ScholarWorks@UNO. It has been accepted for inclusion in DRU Workshop 2013 Presentations – Disaster Resistant University Workshop: Linking Mitigation and Resilience by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks@UNO. For more information, please contact scholarworks@uno.edu.

FIELD PLANNING & DATA COLLECTION PRACTICES FOR CONDUCTING DETAILED NATURAL HAZARD VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENTS OF CAMPUS STRUCTURES

Shandy Ogea Heil & Carol Friedland

Department of Construction Management Louisiana State University

2013 DISASTER RESISTANT UNIVERSITY (DRU) WORKSHOP UNIVERSITY OF NEW ORLEANS, MARCH 20-22



TOPICS OF DISCUSSION

- Project Overview
- Main Objectives
- Project Goal
- Pre-Assessment Phase
- Assessment Phase
- Post-Assessment Phase





PROJECT OVERVIEW

LSU-Systems DRU Project



• Vulnerability assessments of over 300 campus structures

- Hurricane/ High-Wind
- Flood
- Hail



MAIN OBJECTIVES

1. Collect *detailed* **building** and **vulnerability data** for designated *Critical Buildings*

2. Process assessment data

- i. Integrate data with vulnerability assessment tools (i.e. HAZUS/CDMS)
 - Overall losses of each campus as a whole
- ii. Provide **per building** assessment results
 - customized building reports
 - Vulnerability queries
 - Summary of assessment findings
 - Potential mitigation strategies for vulnerabilities



PROJECT GOAL

Develop systematic methodology for field planning and data collection practices





PRE-ASSESSMENT PHASE

- Natural Hazard Vulnerability Research -

Building Prioritization

- Initial Building Investigation

- Survey Instrument Development -

NATURAL HAZARD VULNERABILITY RESEARCH

- 1. Identify types of natural hazards indicative of each area
- 2. Determine *magnitude* and *types* of **damage** caused during past events
 - Insurance claims
 - Maintenance reports
- 3. Establish **vulnerability assessment categories** for *survey instrument*
- 4. Develop mitigation recommendations
 - FEMA Assessment Team Reports case studies



BUILDING PRIORITIZATION

• **Critical Buildings**: role *before, during,* and *after* a natural hazard are *crucial* to the proper functioning of university operations

	Critical Operations	Primary Operations
	(Continuous Operation)	(Resume Operation within 24 hrs.)
AAAA	Power facilities Public evacuation centers Police headquarters Computing services/ communication hubs	 Facilities housing live animals or temperature sensitive research specimen (require electricity) Student health centers Primary food facilities Administration/operations buildings
	Secondary Operations	General Operations
	Secondary Operations (Resume Operation within 1 week)	General Operations (Resume operation within 30 days)



INITIAL BUILDING INVESTIGATION

1. Obtain construction documents and building records

- Building attributes that cannot observed visually
 - Structure, Roof, & Foundation Types
- 2. Conduct **interview** with **person(s)** knowledgeable about each buildings
 - Confirm current vulnerabilities or recurrent problems areas
 - Determine points of entry (door & roof access)
- Locate Critical Buildings
 on campus map and
 through aerial imagery
 - Building location
 - Roof types







SURVEY INSTRUMENT DEVELOPMENT



- Custom/Campus-specific
- Standardized Format



SURVEY INSTRUMENT DEVELOPMENT

Two Data Categories:

GENERAL BUILDING AND SITE ATTRIBUTES

- Building & Roof *structure types* (i.e. steel, masonry, wood)
- Building envelope *material types* (wall cladding, roof membrane)
- Foundation type
- Number of stories
- Site topography

VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

- Overall condition of building & roof
- *Lowest floor elevation* to determine the BFE
- Holes in the building envelope (wind pressurization & rain infiltration)
- *Glass construction type* (i.e. singled pane annealed, shatter-resistant)
- Quantity and types of openings (windows, exterior doors, garage doors)
- Roof drainage performance
- Attachment of: rooftop equipment, awnings, & architectural features
- Wind-borne debris sources
- Overhanging trees



SURVEY INSTRUMENT DEVELOPMENT

Engineered

Dighting (chater)	nt Form	Minister Rice	(1:1 states)	C ins di	u (13 rinin)
Appent from Dr. Carol Print	fantjryn.3				
Longtines of USE Main of a USE A second	Hildsoft Cargan 1867 - Hilds	n PRAC	ing tên	Ditter Ballile	y iD isla
Photo ill'o (int range):	be		Camera() Userh		
Number of Station			Laurani Pinan Des	ation (Fi):	
Baliking Type:	🗆 Unionan	C Haven	D Beel	C Currente	
Its Ming Constitions	🗆 Uniones	C Index	11 Encol	⊡ Fait	II Pear
Canadracellon Classe	🗆 üsteme	E Castan	II Lanury	D jamage	D forming
Gry Read Providege	D Mellerwe	D Sec.	□ <u>be</u>		
Exterior actions of	⊡ Unissue D Sold Wall	D Reamon	II Far (ar pa à II Courtopas	riorinan) • D N	= <u>540.</u>
Mechanical Equipment	a Halghri (Bi)		Humber of Basen	eri Levek i	
Parties of Recomment	Terrathianal (1953)-		Content Value in	Reconcert (Scoff	irijes Baibling)
Դորոցուրիչ։	C interact	II Elet.	D Valley	🗆 Halge	C Singe
Wind Expressor	🗆 Uninean El Unines	D Geran Pr D Major G	ont ⊡ Oyan Lan Ny Center	i 🗆 Farested	II Salachan
Wind this big :	□ Uniment D Three Soles	⊡ Hana G harman	12 Date Nate	di Tana Salan.	
Shielding Heights	□ Uniounes O Salier Trans O Norm	D Taller Ral D Trace Heij D Other	lalaga — 12 Kiesilar gét Kiesilar ta Kabli	Rahlings II (b ing Haight II (b	arin Balideg anine Tranș
Winddame Deirik fa		D Lie insure	🗆 Rauf Shin	gles 🗆 Jacobiland	and Protores
	D Read Grand	D Sheah	□ Panning	D äppurte	sart birantarın
Winddama Debris :	El Esterara e	II Selition	les .		
	Heyiale et iai/C	Commencial M	iya ile Urveirsee maent	C Revidential	M işajile limetese

Pre-Engineered

	PRE-ENGINEER	ED BUILDING	GS				1
	c: Large		🗆 Media	e 46.		Small	2
Bi Jiii	ailding Assessme aster from Dr. Garol Print	Int Form	Sanayan		Late:		1
P	institut = 182 Main = = 188 A ==	illi levek Cargos VAVE — i Alei	- PRIC Rock	ing its	Ditter Balli	ing KD indu	4
R	Photo Ph (int range):	he		Camera() Userh			1
Hpo	Number of Literies			Lawrent Piner Desail	in (94)-		e.
Ř	Baliking Type:	C University	C Haven	D Steel	C Cananala		T
5	its bing Constitions	🗆 Unio ano	C Index	i i <u>i inci</u> i	1 Fait	D Paur	х
te.	Carobrardion Class	🗆 Universit	C Centern	D Lanary 1	in the second	D forming	0
ŝ	Ong Alassi Providege	C Melleran	D 5m	D Max			÷.
14	Laborantiane -	🗆 Lie koosse	II Pile	C Par (or past a	rlinari)		1
≪		D. Solid Wall	C Beener	st 🗆 Courtopare	D Fill	11 <u>Shik</u>	<u> </u>
Iding	Mashanisal Equipment	a Hangles (Nij		Hamilter of Baseman	i Lavelu		1 2
B	Parties of Recomment I	inished (%)-		Content Value in Ra	sement (Kaf	fettre Balbling)	1
	Դորութարիչ։	C i she ener	ti <u>Het.</u>	D Valley	⊐ Kiápr	C Singer	1
	Wind Expression	D Uninease D Unines	C Coren Pr	nnt ⊐äyne Land Ny Genter	C Formini	D Salachan	1
azard	Wind Scielaling:	□ Lie immae 11 There Sides	C Norman	12 Date Side - 1	17 Tanan Sindara		1
/Wind H	Ehielding Heights	□ Unio eso □ Salier Trees □ Reser	C Taller Bai C Tree Hai C Other	lalings — ⊡ Similar Rai gét Similar in Balaling	ldings □0 Heighi □1	hariar Balidings Auriar Irang	1 7
urricane	Winddame Deiris Ke	arten 13 Baarl Grand 13 Baarl Sins	□ Un insure □ Unrais □ Harnet	□ Rant Shingle □ Presing	i ⊒ Arshini ⊡ Apparte	taral Protorns Inari Birantarns	:
Ŧ	Winddams Debris :	 I she ana a II Repúble et ial/ II Repúble et ial/ 	El No Mina Commencial M Commencial M	les la le linairae ment la le linairae ment: Va	12 Residentia ries by Directi	i Mişaile Brazicanı.	1 0
							2

Roof

ilding Assess	ment Form	Surveyari		Dates		Ŀ
Real Complexy	C Other	C Gable	C 19	C Complex	D Rema	7
Read Grapes	II 97	$\Box W$		D 46'	0.10	7
Supharg Lorgite	🗆 Usimum	C 03 test	Ci à 2 fanti			ľ
Read Space	C i sheara a	C = 40 feat	🗆 All Fast	12 or dit have		ľ
Read Keights	D 0 feet	12 % feet	II 35 feat	🗆 10 Inst	II is 10 heat	1
Barley	0.0 0.1		14 115 114	1	C 8 C 2 2 2	7
Read Contring Car	diten D i	alatana 🗆 🗆 Ba	collect CI 4	eral II Pale	II Peer	1
Type of Sant Love	rings D. G. D. Meren krywner and	islamas - C.A. Milalari - D.M.	qibali Dingle enlisone eti kool	Ci Chey Tile - D Hallant - D	Matal 2 Diftar	,
Type of Reof Reak	D Universit	s II Viewel II Present D	El Merial	C Cast in Pa C Other	ang Canang la	ļ
Approximate Nucl Ameri						
Speciality American	per 🗆 🗆	insen 🗆 Kar	risane Olgo	Wre Tay	11 Dittar	2
Initiation of Water	lange Git	hease II Yes	⊡ Har			
Enistence of Water	Panding D in	leans E Ye	⊡ Ha			7
Real Desira Block,	Slaggedi - C in	inana - Di Yes	D Ha			1
Number of Reef D	aire i	Loonago Deale Bila	meter (in)	Parapat No.	ight (R)-	Ľ
Pasapet	11 B	n ⊡11ak	🗆 3 Salas	CI 1 Subra	C Serves mind	
Taugper Masomeni Cammeniu						
Real Casters		shows in Yes	0.6 0.0	et ial		Ľ



ASSESSMENT PHASE

- Building Assessments -

- Site Assessments -

- Roof Assessments -

FIELD ASSESSMENTS

Collect *General Building* and *Site Attributes* & *Vulnerability Assessment Criteria*

- Building
- Site
- Roof

Assessment data collected through:

- Documentation of survey instrument criteria
- Photographs





ASSESSMENT TECHNIQUES

Building Assessments

- Walking inspection from ground
- Around building parameter
- Foundation Wall Roof Connection
- Basement



Site Assessments

- Walking inspection of site
- Attention to neighboring structures/conditions



ASSESSMENT TECHNIQUES

Roof Assessments

- Flat roofs
- Sloped roofs



Physical Assessment



Remote Assessment



Ground-based



Aerial Imagery via UAV



VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT CRITERIA OVERVIEW

- Subjective determination
- Dependent on overall condition of the exterior envelope and foundation
- Generally coincides with the age and maintenance of the building
 - Fair to Poor Condition = Greater Vulnerability



- Built < 5 years, recently remodeled, or wellmaintained buildings with no deficiencies
- Exterior building envelope free of deficiencies
- Small ancillary items sufficiently attached and in good condition (gutters and decorative features)









- Built >5 years & <20 years, or well-maintained buildings with minor deficiencies
- Minor exterior envelope and attachment deficiencies

Note: 1930's building classified in "good condition" due to maintenance level



Loose fascia board



Missing gutter hardware attachments





- Built >15 years, <50 years, or moderately maintained buildings with repairable deficiencies
- Moderate exterior envelope and attachment deficiencies





Building envelope largely comprised of Non-shatter resistant windows in poor condition







Windows in very poor condition



Insufficiently attached gutter

- Buildings that have not been maintained and pose a threat to neighboring buildings in a high-wind or flood event.
- Minor exterior envelope and attachment deficiencies



Roof deck deterioration at building connection



Missing/broken clay tiles



ROOF CONDITION



- No deficiencies
- New construction or newly re-roofed buildings



- No missing tiles, shingles, or other roof membrane type
- No visible water damage
- Predominate condition category for campus buildings



ROOF CONDITION



- Minor flaws (evidence of water ponding, isolated repairs, membrane attachment issues)
- Flaws that could potentially affect the structural integrity of the roof system



- Missing tiles, shingles, or membrane cover that expose roof deck
- Heavy corrosion of metal roof systems and attachments
- Original roof systems that have not been maintained



LOWEST FLOOR ELEVATION & HEIGHT OF MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT

• Determines if building and equipment are above the BFE



Measurement of foundation thickness



Above grade mechanical equipment atop cement foundation



Below grade mechanical equipment located in a basement room



HOLES IN BUILDING ENVELOPE

- Wind Pressurization during high-wind events
- Rain infiltration into interior



Deterioration of Soffits



Missing vent covers



Windows with flashing, sealant or gasket failures of the sash and frame



GLASS CONSTRUCTION & OPENINGS

- Wind Pressurization and Rain Infiltration
- Hail!



Non-Impact Resistant vs. Impact Resistant



Building envelopes with high amount of Non-Resistant windows



Roll-up Garage Doors



ROOF DRAINAGE PERFORMANCE

Detection of Rooftop Ponding

Drainage Inspection

- Drains
- Scuppers
- Gutters
 - Source of Clogs
 - Quantity & Placement













ATTACHMENT ROOFTOP EQUIPMENT & COMPONENTS

HVAC Equipment

• Fans, Vents, Condensers

Lightening Protection Communication Equip.

Flashing & Skylights













ATTACHMENT BUILDING ACCESSORIES



Light-Frame Carports



Awning-to-Building Attachment



WIND-BORNE DEBRIS SOURCES

Debris from Buildings

- Roofing Materials
- Rooftop Equipment
- Awnings/Carports
- Gutters
- Flashing







Non-Building Related Debris

- Outdoor furniture
- Lightweight, Unanchored containers
- Appurtenant Structures/Sheds
- Fencing











OVERHANGING TREES



Branches over Structures



Tree related Drainage Issues



POST-ASSESSMENT PHASE

- Data Processing -

PROJECT SEQUENCE

Data Collection Field assessments using detailed data survey instrument



<text>





Data Processing Integration with Vulnerability Assessment Tools to determine potential losses caused by regional natural disasters





DATA PROCESSING RESULTS

HAZUS Analysis

• Campus-wide Scale







DATA PROCESSING RESULTS

Customized building reports

Per Building Scale



Current Problems & Vulnerabilites





Air Conditioning Condensers and

similar equipment should be

Similar Equipment: Condensers and

secured with straps fastened to the

stand, curb, or roof deck, (Ref. 1)

Overhanging Trees: Tree located near or above structures should be

trimmed periodically to prevent

the amount of organic debris on rooftops which pose drainage issues

and potential fire hazards.

damage to the structure and reduce



in (Ref. 1)

(Ref. 3)



Securing Small Rooftop Equipment to Curbs: Attach stacks, exhaust curb with steel cables. Wind Speed fans and air intakes to the curb with Conditions and Cable Sizes can be corrosion resistant fasteners not determined from FEMA guidelines exceeding 6in. on centers between the equipment, transition pieces, and the roof curb. (Ref. 1)





Wind-Borne Debris: Wind-Borne debris can cause significant damage to windows and other components of the building envelope. Debris sources for each building are listed under Building Information or Building Comments of the report.



(Ref. 3)

to windows and other components of the building envelope. Debris sources for each building are listed under Building Information or Building Comments of the report.

E-10



DATA PROCESSING RESULTS

				Bldg ID	with Si Bld
	TSU	lovandria		2	Ave
	LSU-P	Alexanuria		20	Hui
	Vulnerat	bility Summary		23	Chi
				6	Bol
				Buildings	with S
	High I	Risk Buildings		Bldg. ID	Bld
	5	0			Scie
 No 	ne			Buildings Bldg. ID	with G
No Roofs in F	air or Poor Condition	State ID	Boof Condition	Buildings Bldg. ID 6	with G Bld Bol Fac
 No Roofs in F Bldg. ID 3 	air or Poor Condition <u>Bldg. Name</u> Fitness Center	<u>State ID</u> 10036	<u>Roof Condition</u> Fair	Buildings Bldg. ID 6	with G Bol Fac
 No Roofs in F Bldg. ID 3 	ne Tair or Poor Condition Bldg. Name Fitness Center Abrams Hall	<u>State ID</u> 10036 10015	<u>Roof Condition</u> Fair Fair	Buildings Bldg. ID 6	with G Bol Fac
No Roofs in F Bldg. ID 3 22	ne Tair or Poor Condition Bldg. Name Fitness Center Abrams Hall Utility Plant	<u>State ID</u> 10036 10015 10101	<u>Roof Condition</u> Fair Fair Fair	Buildings Bldg. ID 6	with G Bld Bol Fac
• No Roofs in <i>F</i> <u>Bldg. ID</u> 3 22 9	ne <i>Tair</i> or <i>Poor</i> Condition <u>Bldg. Name</u> Fitness Center Abrams Hall Utility Plant Student Center	<u>State ID</u> 10036 10015 10101 10100	<u>Roof Condition</u> Fair Fair Fair Fair	Evidence of	with G Bld Boi Fac
• No Roofs in <i>F</i> <u>Bldg. ID</u> 3 22 9 9-A	ne <i>Tair or Poor Condition</i> <u>Bldg. Name</u> Fitness Center Abrams Hall Utility Plant Student Center Student Center Bookstore	<u>State ID</u> 10036 10015 10101 10100 10098	<u>Roof Condition</u> Fair Fair Fair Fair Poor	Evidence	with G Bld Boi Fac
 No Roofs in <i>F</i> Bldg. ID 3 22 9 9-A 9B 	ne <i>Tair</i> or <i>Poor</i> Condition <u>Bldg. Name</u> Fitness Center Abrams Hall Utility Plant Student Center Student Center Bookstore Student Center Ballroom	<u>State ID</u> 10036 10015 10101 10100 10098 10099	<u>Roof Condition</u> Fair Fair Fair Fair Poor Fair	Evidence of Bidg. ID	with G Bld Boi Fac
 No Roofs in F Bldg. ID 3 22 9 9-A 9B 4 	ne <i>Tair</i> or <i>Poor</i> Condition <u>Bldg. Name</u> Fitness Center Abrams Hall Utility Plant Student Center Student Center Bookstore Student Center Ballroom Chambers Hall	<u>State ID</u> 10036 10015 10101 10100 10098 10099 10021	<u>Roof Condition</u> Fair Fair Fair Fair Poor Fair Fair	Evidence of Bidg. ID	with G Bld Boi Fac of Pond Bld Sci Fit
 No Roofs in F Bldg. ID 3 22 9 9-A 9B 4 4 	ne <i>Bidg. Name</i> Fitness Center Abrams Hall Utility Plant Student Center Bookstore Student Center Ballroom Chambers Hall Chambers Hall	State ID 10036 10015 10101 10100 10098 10099 10021 10021	<u>Roof Condition</u> Fair Fair Fair Fair Poor Fair Fair Poor	Evidence of Bidg. ID 1 3 5	bit Pond Fac bit Pond bit Pond b
 No Roofs in F Bldg. ID 3 22 9 9-A 9B 4 4 	Tair or Poor Condition Bldg. Name Fitness Center Abrams Hall Utility Plant Student Center Student Center Ballroom Chambers Hall Chambers Hall	State ID 10036 10015 10101 10100 10098 10099 10021 10021	<u>Roof Condition</u> Fair Fair Fair Fair Poor Fair Fair Poor	Evidence of Bldg. ID 6 Evidence of 1 3 5 7	of Pond Sci Fit Co Oa
 No Roofs in F Bldg. ID 3 22 9 9-A 9B 4 4 	ne <i>Tair</i> or <i>Poor</i> Condition <u>Bldg. Name</u> Fitness Center Abrams Hall Utility Plant Student Center Student Center Bookstore Student Center Ballroom Chambers Hall Chambers Hall	<u>State ID</u> 10036 10015 10101 10100 10098 10099 10021 10021	<mark>Roof Condition</mark> Fair Fair Fair Fair Poor Fair Fair Poor	Evidence of Bldg. ID 6 Evidence of Bldg. ID 1 3 5 7	of Pone Bid Sci Fitt Co Oa Ab
 No Roofs in <i>F</i> Bldg. ID 3 22 9 9-A 9B 4 4 Holes in F 	ne <i>Tair</i> or <i>Poor</i> Condition <u>Bldg. Name</u> Fitness Center Abrams Hall Utility Plant Student Center Student Center Bookstore Student Center Ballroom Chambers Hall Chambers Hall Building Envelope	State ID 10036 10015 10101 10100 10098 10099 10021 10021	<mark>Roof Condition</mark> Fair Fair Fair Fair Poor Fair Fair Fair Poor	Evidence of Bldg. ID 6 Evidence of Bldg. ID 1 3 5 7 22 9	of Pone Bld Sci Fitti Co Oa Ab Uti Stu
 No Roofs in <i>F</i> Bldg. ID 3 22 9 9-A 9B 4 Holes in E 	ne <i>Vair</i> or <i>Poor</i> Condition <u>Bldg. Name</u> Fitness Center Abrams Hall Utility Plant Student Center Student Center Ballroom Chambers Hall Chambers Hall Building Envelope	<u>State ID</u> 10036 10015 10101 10100 10098 10099 10021 10021	<u>Roof Condition</u> Fair Fair Fair Fair Poor Fair Fair Poor	Evidence of Bldg. ID 6 Evidence of Bldg. ID 1 3 5 7 22 9 9-A	bit Bol Fac bit Ponce Bit Sci Sci Sci Sci Sci Sci Sci Sci Sci Sci
No Roofs in A Bldg. ID 3 22 9 9-A 9B 4 4 Holes in E Bldg. ID	ne Tair or Poor Condition <u>Bldg. Name</u> Fitness Center Abrams Hall Utility Plant Student Center Bookstore Student Center Ballroom Chambers Hall Chambers Hall Chambers Hall Building Envelope <u>Bldg. Name</u>	<u>State ID</u> 10036 10015 10101 10100 10098 10099 10021 10021 <u>State ID</u>	<u>Roof Condition</u> Fair Fair Fair Fair Poor Fair Fair Poor	Buildings Bldg. ID 6 Evidence of Bldg. ID 1 3 5 7 22 9 9-A 98	of Pone Bid Boi Fac Dof Pone Sci Sci Sci Sci Sci Sci Sci Sci Sci Sci

Buildings v	with Single Pane Windows			
	Pldg Namo	State ID		
Blag. ID	Bidg. Name	State ID		
2	Avoyelles Hall	10025		
20	Child Core Contor	10085		
23	Utility Plant	12811		
22	Deltas Libras	10101		
0	Bolton Library	10018		
Buildings v	vith Skylights			
Bldg. ID	Bldg. Name	State ID		
1	Science	10088		
3	Fitness Center	10036		
Buildings v	vith Garage Doors			
Bldg. ID	Bldg. Name	State ID	No. of Doors	
6	Bolton Library	10018	1 Door	
	Facility Service	10081	4 Doors	
	Drair	nage Issues		
Evidence o	f Ponding			
Evidence o <u>Bldg. ID</u>	f Ponding <u>Bldg. Name</u>	State ID		
Evidence o Bldg. ID 1	f Ponding Bldg. Name Science	<u>State ID</u> 10088		
Evidence o Bldg. ID 1 3	f Ponding <u>Bldg. Name</u> Science Fitness Center	<u>State ID</u> 10088 10036		
Evidence o Bldg. ID 1 3 5	f Ponding <u>Bldg. Name</u> Science Fitness Center Coughlin Hall	<u>State ID</u> 10088 10036 10053		
Evidence o Bldg. ID 1 3 5 7	f Ponding <u>Bldg. Name</u> Science Fitness Center Coughlin Hall Oakland	<u>State ID</u> 10088 10036 10053 10080		
Evidence o Bldg. ID 1 3 5 7	f Ponding <u>Bldg. Name</u> Science Fitness Center Coughlin Hall Oakland Abrams Hall	<u>State ID</u> 10088 10036 10053 10080 10015		
Evidence o <u>Bldg. ID</u> 1 3 5 7 22	f Ponding <u>Bldg. Name</u> Science Fitness Center Coughlin Hall Oakland Abrams Hall Utility Plant	<u>State ID</u> 10088 10036 10053 10080 10015 10101		
Evidence o Bldg. ID 1 3 5 7 22 9	f Ponding <u>Bldg. Name</u> Science Fitness Center Coughlin Hall Oakland Abrams Hall Utility Plant Student Center	<u>State ID</u> 10088 10036 10053 10080 10015 10101 10100		
Evidence o Bldg. ID 1 3 5 7 22 9 9 9-A	f Ponding <u>Bldg. Name</u> Science Fitness Center Coughlin Hall Oakland Abrams Hall Utility Plant Student Center Student Center Bookstore	State ID 10088 10036 10053 10080 10015 10101 10100 10098		
Evidence o <u>Bldg. ID</u> 1 3 5 7 22 9 9-A 98	f Ponding <u>Bldg. Name</u> Science Fitness Center Coughlin Hall Oakland Abrams Hall Utility Plant Student Center Student Center Ballroom	State ID 10088 10036 10053 10080 10015 10101 10100 10099		

LS

CONCLUSIONS

- Hazus assessments reflected actual building conditions
- **Queries** for each major vulnerability were *utilized for the LSU-Systems DRU report*
- University personnel found building reports extremely useful for vulnerability detection and mitigation actions



FIELD PLANNING & DATA COLLECTION PRACTICES FOR CONDUCTING DETAILED NATURAL HAZARD VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENTS OF CAMPUS STRUCTURES

Shandy Ogea Heil & Carol Friedland

Department of Construction Management Louisiana State University

SOGEA1@LSU.EDU

FRIEDLAND@LSU.EDU

