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Abstract

Expressions are very useful in a number of applications for describing the interest of the user in particular data items. Examples of such application domains include publish/subscribe, ecommerce, web site personalization. In recent work, database techniques have been utilized for efficiently matching large number of expressions with data. These techniques include storing expressions as data in the database and then indexing these expressions to quickly identify expressions that match a given data item.

In this thesis a new model for expressions is presented that allows definition of richer expressions than provided in previous work. Implementation of this expression model is then described. The implementation includes sequential search as well as an indexing approach. The thesis then presents an experimental performance study that shows the benefit of the indexing approach.
Chapter 1 Introduction

Expressions are very useful to describe the interest of the user. They are used in wide range of applications including Publish/Subscribe [5, 6], Ecommerce [7, 8], Continuous Queries [9, 10], Web site Personalization [11].

Let us consider a simple Example [2]:

ON Car4Sale
IF (Model = ‘Taurus’ and Price < 20000)
THEN notify(‘scott@yahoo.com’)

In this content based subscription system [6], user expresses his interest in Car4Sale Event. In this event the users interest is modeled in the Expression

“Model =’Taurus’ and Price < 20000”.

In other words, the user is looking for Taurus (Car) and the price of the Car must be less than 20000. So in this way users express their interest in a similar fashion. Every time a event of this sort occurs, one has to search the database for each condition mentioned in the Expression, and then display the records which qualify all the conditions in the Expression. So rather than just querying the database every time the user expresses his interest (which would take more time), if we capture the interest itself and store the interest of users in database, then one could get quicker results.
This study explores the idea of capturing and storing the interest of users, which we call as Expressions, as a part of RDBMS, and storing them in a certain way such that it takes less time to get results. This study also explores previous implementation on this field, the Oracle approach [2], and suggests a different approach to store and handle Expressions in a RDBMS. Also in order to enhance the performance of evaluation of Expressions this study also suggests and implements an indexing technique.

The work presented in this document attempts to improve the performance of Expression evaluation by storing the users interest as a part of the RDBMS and indexing it in order to reduce the time taken for the evaluation process. The rest of this thesis is organized as follows. Chapter 2 provides an overview of Expressions, nomenclature used in this study. It also mentions the Previous work done in this area, the approach used to store and handle the Expressions. Chapter 3 describes the entire process of storing and handling the Expressions used in this thesis work further it mentions about the indexing technique used in this study. The algorithm used for implementation of this work is also mentioned in this chapter. Chapter 4 mentions the system specifications in which the work was carried out, the test cases and discusses the results. Chapter 5 concludes with suggestions for future work.
Chapter 2 Overview of Expressions and Previous work

This chapter reviews the basic terminology used in this work. It also mentions the previous work done in this area, the approach defined by Oracle. The first section gives a brief overview of Expressions, Predicates. The later sections discuss about the approach used by Oracle to handle Expressions.

2.1 Overview of Expressions:

2.1.1 Terminology and Definitions:

Expressions:

In common words one can define Expression as something that expresses or communicates. In this study it is defined as a combination of one or more values, operators, and SQL functions that evaluate to a value. Expressions are all about patterns [1], once a pattern is described, it can be searched or manipulated. Patterns are important for daily work; in many areas without a specific pattern life would be much tougher.

Expressions are nothing but Boolean conditions. They evaluate to true or false depending on the incoming data and the user’s interest. Conditional Expressions [2] are a useful way of describing the interest of a user with respect to some expected data. One Example scenario is explained here.
Consider a CarSales event, a user may express interest as:

\[
\text{IF (Model = 'Taurus' and Price < 20000)} \\
\text{THEN notify('scott@yahoo.com')}
\]

Here in the above case, users interest (Model = ‘Taurus’ and Price < 20000) constitutes

**Expression.** It describes the users interest. The referenced variables Model and Price form the

**Evaluation Context.** This study explores the idea of managing such Expressions (along with its Evaluation Context) as data in a relational database system. The syntax of Expressions should follow SQL-WHERE clause syntax. An Expression can reference any table, column, or function in a database.

Few Examples of Expression is given below:

- **Ex1:** (Model = ‘Taurus’ and Price < 15000 and Mileage < 2500)
- **Ex2:** (Model = ‘Mustang’ and Year > 1999 and Price < 20000)
- **Ex3:** (Price < 15000 and Color = ‘Red’)

**Data-Item:**

The data item constitutes of valid values for all the variables defined in the corresponding Evaluation Context. An Expression evaluates to *True* if the incoming data-item meets the user’s interest, and if the incoming data-item doesn’t meet the user’s interest then the Expression evaluates to *False.*
**Predicate:**

A Predicate [3] is a WHERE clause that qualifies a particular set of rows within the table. For example, a Predicate on Zipcode column of Employer table would appear something like this:

```plaintext
Employer . Zipcode = 70122
```

The above Predicate matches all the records in the Employer table where the Zipcode column value is 70122. ‘.’ separates Tablename from Column name.

Other Examples of Predicates:

```plaintext
Car . Year = 2000
Consumer . Quantity > 200
Car . Price < 15000
```

Combining Predicates on other forms of data, like functions, in a database is just a powerful way of expressing interest.

**Operator for Evaluation of Expressions:**

**EVALUATE** operator is introduced in SQL to evaluate an Expression for a given data-item. For a given input data item, EVALUATE operator checks if any Expressions evaluates to True. EVALUATE operator returns 1 if an Expression is evaluated to True for a given input data-item. It will be discussed further in the coming sections of this document.
2.2 Previous Study on Expressions (Oracle Approach)

The Oracle approach proposes to store the Expressions defined for a particular Evaluation Context in a column of a database table. Also they introduce EVALUATE operator that operates on the column that stores the Expressions for a particular Evaluation Context.

2.2.1 Storing Expressions as Table Data

In Oracle approach, Expressions are stored in a column of a user table. Following is an Example of User Table, which has an Expression column (Interest) that stores all the Expressions under an Evaluation Context.

**CONSUMER Table**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Zip Code</th>
<th>Price</th>
<th>…</th>
<th>Interest (Expression Column)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benz</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>70122</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td></td>
<td>Model = ‘Taurus’ and Price &lt; 15000 and Mileage &lt; 2500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toyota</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>70123</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td></td>
<td>Model = ‘Mustang’ and Year &gt; 1999 and Price &lt; 20000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taurus</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>71224</td>
<td>9000</td>
<td></td>
<td>Price &lt; 20000 and Color = ‘Red’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infiniti</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>32256</td>
<td>17000</td>
<td></td>
<td>Price &gt; 15000 and Model = Toyota</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figure 2.1 Consumer Table*
All the Expressions related to the consumer table are stored in the Interest column of the Consumer Table. For all the Expressions, all the other columns constitute the Evaluation Context. So only the Expressions related to the Consumer Table are present here in the Consumer table.

2.2.2 Evaluation of Expressions (EVALUATE Operator):

In order to evaluate an Expression an EVALUATE Operator is used. The EVALUATE operator would be evaluating to 1 if an Expression is evaluated to True, 0 if it is Evaluated to False. An EVALUATE operator takes two arguments: the column of the conditional Expression and the data items for the Expression.

Example using EVALUATE Operator:

Ex1: Select CID From Consumer WHERE EVALUATE (consumer. interest,' Model => ' ' Mustang ' ', Price => 22000, Mileage => 1800, Year => 2000 ') = 1;

2.2.3 Handling Expressions (Oracle Approach):

Expressions are stored in a column of a user table and compared, using the EVALUATE operator, to incoming data items specified in a SQL WHERE clause or to a table of data. For an incoming data item, every Expression is evaluated to either True or False. If the Expression and the incoming data item has the Predicates on same attributes and also if the Predicates lie in the
same data range then the Expression evaluates to True, or else it evaluates to False. Expressions that evaluate to True return 1, and those which evaluate to false return 0.

An Expression describes interest in an item of data using one or more variables, known as **elementary attributes**. Elementary attributes are nothing but the columns names of the existing data table in a RDBMS. It is also called as the *Evaluation Context*. A valid Expression consists of one or more simple conditions called **Predicates**. The Predicates in the Expression are linked by the logical operators AND and OR. **Expressions** must adhere to the SQL WHERE clause format. It is not important that an Expression uses all the attributes in the Evaluation Context; however, the incoming data from the incoming data item must provide a value for every attribute in the Evaluation Context. For those attributes for which there is no data from the incoming data item, null is accepted as the input value.

For Example, the following Expression captures the interest of a user in a Car (the data item) with the model, price, and year as attributes.

Model = 'Taurus' && Price = 20000 && Year = 2000

Now if the incoming data item looks like the following:

Model = ‘Taurus’ and Price = 25000

Then for the ‘Year’ attribute the EVALUATE operator assumes a null value.

**Expressions** are stored in a column of a user table with an Expression datatype. The values stored in a column of this type are constrained to be **Expressions**. A user table can have one or more Expression type columns. In order to display the contents of the Expressions column user can use regular SQL statement. The Expressions are shown in regular string format.
As the Expressions are stored as a regular column in the user table they can be also inserted, updated or deleted with the help of standard SQL statements. All the Expressions stored in a user table share a common set of attributes. This set of attributes plus any functions that are used in the Expressions comprise the metadata for all the Expressions in a particular user table. All the Expressions under one user table, that share a common set of attributes form an Expression set. This metadata is referred to as the attribute set. The attribute set consists of the elementary attribute names and their datatypes and any functions used in the Expressions. In order to insert a new Expression or to modify an existing Expression validation is required. Validation would be crosschecking if the new Expression or the modifications to the existing Expression comply with the Expression metadata i.e. attribute set. So all the Expressions present under an Expression set are bound to use the attributes and functions defined under the attribute set.

Expressions cannot contain sub queries.

The Figure below shows the storage of Expressions in Consumer Table. All the Expressions are stored under the Interest column. CAR4SALE is the metadata for all the Expressions in that table. The Expressions refer the column names mentioned in the metadata, also User-defined functions and Built-in functions. The use of user function HorsePower can be seen in the third Expression in the below Fig2.2.
2.3 Indexing Expressions (Oracle Approach):

Evaluation of an Expression condition can be done in various ways. According to Oracle approach, they store an Expression as a separate data column in a data table. They created a new data type to store Expressions. With the help of data type, they could store the whole Expression as a column in existing tables.

As discussed above, this approach maintains metadata of the Expressions. Each user table has a column called Interest, where all the Expressions are stored. A new mechanism for indexing
conditional Expressions is introduced. This indexing mechanism is implemented as a new Index
type, **Expression Filter**, to create and maintain indexes on columns storing Expressions. When
an Expression Filter index is defined on a column storing Expressions, the EVALUATE operator
on such column uses the index. For a large set of
Expressions, the index can quickly eliminate the Expressions that are false for a given data item
and return only the Expressions that evaluate to true.

Expression Filter index can be created on a column storing Expressions and the EVALUATE
operator on such column may use the index to process the Expressions efficiently. Given a large
set of Expressions, many of them tend to have certain commonalities in their Predicates. For a
data item, these Expressions can be evaluated efficiently if the commonalities are exploited and
the processing cost is shared across multiple Predicates. For Example, given two Predicates with
a common left-hand side, say Year = 1998 and Year = 1999, in most cases, the falseness or
trueness of one Predicate can be determined based on the outcome of the other Predicate. That is,
if the Predicate Year = 1998 is **true**, the other Predicate Year = 1999 **cannot be true** for the
same value of the Year.

Similar logical relationships can be formed for Predicates having common left-hand sides with
range, not equal to and other kind of operators.

For Example,
If the Predicate Year > 1999 is **true** for a data item,
Then the Predicate Year > 1998 is conclusively **true**.
An Expression Filter index is defined over a set of Expressions. It exploits the logical relationships between multiple Predicates by grouping them based on the commonality of their left-hand side values. These left-hand sides, also called the complex attributes, are arithmetic Expressions constituting one or more elementary attributes and user-defined functions. In the Expression set, these left-hand sides (complex attributes) appear in the Predicates along with an operator and a constant on the right-hand side (RHS). The Predicate table here in this approach stores the grouping information for all the Predicates of an Expression set. Each row of the Predicate table stores one Expression in the Expression set.

The snapshot view of the Predicate table for the Expressions stored in the INTEREST column of the CONSUMER table is shown below:
For each predicate in an expression, its operator and the constant on the right-hand side are stored under the corresponding columns of the predicate group. The predicates that do not fall into one of the pre-configured groups are preserved in their original form and stored in a VARCHAR column of the Predicate table as sparse predicates (for the above example, the predicates on Mileage and Year fall in this category). In order to evaluate a data item for a set of Expressions, the left hand side associated with each Predicate group is computed and its value is compared with the corresponding constants stored in the Predicate table using appropriate operator. Bitmap indexes are created on the {Operator, RHS} columns of few selected groups of the Predicate Table. In the above example three Predicate groups are shown with their respective
column constraints. G1 takes Predicates on ‘Model’; G2 takes Predicates on column ‘Price’ and so on.

The selection of these groups is either done by the user specification or from frequency statistics.
Chapter 3: Approach Used in this Study

This chapter describes the approach used in this study to store and evaluate Expressions of a database. It discusses the approach used for storing Expressions of a database. The initial section describes the storage mechanism, and the later sections describe the evaluation process. Also to enhance evaluation process, an indexed approach was implemented which would be discussed in the later section.

3.1 Overview of Expression Handling and Storage

3.1.1 Expression Storage

In this study a different approach of storing all the Expressions of a database is introduced and described. Also a different approach is introduced for the evaluation of the Expressions of the database by an indexed approach. An alternate technique is introduced for indexing the Expressions in order to enhance the evaluation process of the Expressions.

Expressions:

Here in this study, all the Expressions present in a database are stored in a separate table of the database. Unlike the Oracle approach, one can have Expressions that refer more than one user table of the same database. In this study we call that table which stores all the Expressions of the
database as Expression Table. Irrespective of the Evaluation Context of the Expressions, all the Expressions of the database are stored in the Expression table. The respective information about each Expression is stored in a separate table called the **Predicate table**. In other words one can say the Evaluation Context of all the Expressions is stored in Predicate Table. Let us discuss about the design and structure of the Predicate table and the Expression table.

### 3.1.2 Development of Predicate Table and Expression Table:

For the progress of the work, following two tables were created.

- Expression table
- Predicate table.

As discussed earlier, here all the Expressions are stored in a data table of the DBMS. This table is the called the Expression Table. So all the Expressions pertaining to a particular Database are stored in the Expression table itself. An Expression contains 1 or more conditional statements, which are nothing but the Predicates. In this study all such Predicates of all the Expressions of the Database are stored in the Predicate Table, which is discussed later in this section. The structure of the above-mentioned two tables is discussed below.

**EXPRESSION Table:**

The Expression table has two columns, namely the Expression ID and Predicate ID. Expression ID is unique id (type int) for each Expression. It acts as the primary key for the Expression table. So each unique Expression is assigned an ExpressionID.
Predicate Id is the unique id (type int) given to each Predicate. An Expression can have one or more than one Predicates; each Predicate is given a unique id. A Predicate can appear in more than one Expression. If two or more Expressions have one or more equivalent Predicates then they are given the same Predicate id.

For Example:

Let us consider the following two Expressions:

1 = Year > 1996 AND model = ‘HONDA’
2 = Price < 10000 AND model = ’HONDA’

The above two Expressions have two Predicates each, 1 and 2 are the Expression IDs. As it can be observed both of them have one common Predicate (model = ’HONDA’). So the Expression table for the above Example would look as shown below:

Following is the snapshot view of Expression Table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expression ID</th>
<th>Predicate ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.1 Example of Expression Table

**Predicate Table:**

Each Predicate contains 4 parameters, which are:

-- Tablename, which it references
Each Predicate is split into the above mentioned various fields and then it is inserted as a row in the Predicate table. Each Predicate is given a unique distinguishable ID called the Predicate ID, which is the primary key of the Predicate table. The Predicate table has primary key field as the PredicateID.

Following is a snapshot of Predicate table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predicate ID</th>
<th>Table Name</th>
<th>Column Name</th>
<th>Operator</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cars</td>
<td>Model</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>Taurus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Dealer</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Test</td>
<td>Color</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>Pink</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.2 Example of Predicate Table

**Differences when compared to Oracle approach:**

Unlike the Oracle approach, the Expressions are not stored as a part of user tables. The storage of Expressions no more depends on Evaluation Context of the Expression. All the Expressions present in a database are stored in a single table, Expression Table. Storage of Expressions is totally different when compared it with Oracle approach. The main differences when compared to the Oracle approach are:

- This study allows expressions to be defined over multiple tables. Oracle doesn’t support that.
• This approach doesn’t require that predicate groups be identified for index creation. With Oracle approach, a Database Administrator (DBA) or application developer has to do this addition work.

3.2 Methodology used in this work to handle and store Expressions:

Each Expression has a unique id, which is called the ExpressionID (EXPID). Each Expression when created in the RDBMS is assigned a new ExpressionID. All the Expressions present in a Database are stored in a separate table called the Expression Table. According to this work, each Database has one Expression table, where all the Expressions present in that Database are stored.

The Expression Table contains ExpressionID of each and every Expression present in the database. Each Expression has one or more Predicates in it. Each ExpressionID is mapped to the respective PredicateID’s in the Expression table i.e. each ExpressionID shows all the Predicates (PredicateIDs) it is associated with.

Each Predicate is also assigned a unique PredicateID in order to make them distinguishable to other PredicateIDs. Two or more Expressions can have one or more same Predicates. Even though Predicates repeat in various Expressions they are uniquely identified using their PredicateID.

3.2.1 Storage of Expressions:

Below mentioned are the steps that are followed before storing a new Expression:
■ Validation of the incoming Expression to find out if a similar Expression already exists in the database.
■ Validation of the Predicates present in the incoming Expression to find out if similar Predicates are already present in the Predicate table.
■ Updating the Predicate Table by assigning appropriate PredicateIDs to the new Predicates (which came from the incoming Expression)
■ Updating the Expression Table by assigning appropriate ExpressionID to the new Expression.

3.2.2 Validation of incoming Expression (and Predicates):

On arrival of a new Expression, all its Predicates present in the arriving Expression are checked one by one with the existing Predicate Table. Each Predicate from the new Expression is taken and checked if a similar Predicate already exists in the Predicate table, if all the Predicates from the new Expression have a match in the Predicate Table then from the Expression Table we find the ExpressionID of the Expression which has the exactly the same matched PredicateIDs. So this implies that a similar Expression is already present in the Database, and hence the newly arrived Expression wont be added again to the Expression Table as a similar Expression already exists in the Database.

3.2.3 Adding new Record in the Expression Table (and Predicate Table):

Once the validation process is completed for the newly arrived Expression, one or more Predicates (those which did not have a match in the Predicate table) of the new Expression are
assigned new PredicateIDs and the Predicate table is updated. Also the Expression Table is updated with a new ExpressionID with the mappings to the new PredicateIDs.

This can be shown with the following Example:

Consider that the incoming Expression is as shown below:

Incoming New Expression:

\[(\text{Car.Model} = 'Toyota' \&\& \text{Car.Color} = 'Silver' \&\& \text{Dealer.Location} = 'LA')\]

Suppose the Predicate table and Expression table were as follows:

Expression Table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ExpressionID</th>
<th>PredicateID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.3 Expression Table
Let us now have a look at the Predicate table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PredicateID</th>
<th>TableName</th>
<th>ColumnName</th>
<th>Operator</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Car</td>
<td>Model</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>Acura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Dealer</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>CogginAuto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Bike</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Car</td>
<td>Model</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>Toyota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Bike</td>
<td>Model</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>CBR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.4 Predicate Table

So the Predicates in the incoming Expression are:

Car.Model = ‘Toyota’

Car.Color =’Silver’

Dealer.Location = ‘LA’

So it clearly appears from the Predicate table that there is already a record existing for the
Predicate Car.Model=’Toyota’ in the Predicate table with PredicateID as 5. The remaining two
Predicates are not present in the Predicate table. So now with the addition of the new Expression
in the Expression table and the corresponding Predicates in the Predicate Table, the two tables
appear as following:
Updated Expression table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ExpressionID</th>
<th>PredicateID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.5 Updated Expression Table

Updated Predicate Table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PredicateID</th>
<th>TableName</th>
<th>ColumnName</th>
<th>Operator</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Car</td>
<td>Model</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>Acura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Dealer</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>CogginAuto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Bike</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Car</td>
<td>Model</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>Toyota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Bike</td>
<td>Model</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>CBR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Car</td>
<td>Color</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>Silver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Dealer</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>LA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.6 Updated Predicate Table

So this is how the Expression table and the Predicate table are updated. Unlike the Oracle approach, this study maintains a separate table to store all the Expressions in the Database. Also
this approach doesn’t restrict Expressions on basis of attribute set or Evaluation Context and all Expressions would be present in one table.

### 3.3 Indexing Expressions: Approach used in this study

As discussed above, in this approach a separate table is maintained for storing Expressions and Predicates. So in order to quickly eliminate the Expressions that are false for a given data item and return only the Expressions that evaluate to true we can index the Expressions. As the Predicates of all the Expressions in the DBMS are present in the Predicate Table, so in order to index Expressions, it would be a good choice to create an index on the Predicate table.

In this study we create a B+ Tree Index on the Predicate table in order to make the process of evaluation of input data-item faster. In the process of evaluation of the input data-item, first we get the Predicates that satisfy the input data-item. With B+ Tree created on the Predicate table, the execution of this step can be made faster.

In this case, the B+ Tree consists of BPages. Page of a B+ Tree is called BPage[4]. In other words, each node of B+ Tree is referred as BPage in this study. Each BPage is a combination of Key-value pair. In this study in each record of the Predicate table the combination of the TableName, ColumnName, Operator, Value act as the Key field and the PredicateID field act as the Value for each BPage.

We use the JDBM project [4] implementation for the creation of the B+ Tree in this study. JDBM also provides scalable data structures, such as B+Tree, to support persistence of large object collections. JDBM provides an API for B+Tree, which is used in the implementation.
Once the B+ Tree is created, depending upon the input data-item we get all the Predicates that are true for the data-item. Once we have all the PredicateIDs that are True for the input data-item we then Evaluate all these PredicateIDs to find out all the Expressions that evaluate to true to the PredicateIDs that were True for the input data-item.

The algorithm used for the entire structure is shown below.

**Differences when compared to Oracle approach:**

The indexing technique involved in this study is B+ tree indexing, whereas in the Oracle approach Bitmap indexing technique is used. There are no Predicate groups involved in this study; all the Predicates are stored in Predicate Table.

**3.3.1 Algorithm:**

Here two tables are used, one for storing Predicates and the other is for storing Expressions. The output of an input data-item to be evaluated would be the Expression-id’s of the Expression table that are evaluated to True.

For Example consider the following scenario:

Example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predicate-id</th>
<th>Table</th>
<th>Column</th>
<th>Operator</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Car</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>Taurus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Bike</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Car</td>
<td>Price</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>20000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Flight</td>
<td>Airways</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>Delta</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.7a Predicate Table:
If the user inputs the data-item to be evaluated as:

    ‘EVALUATE Car name = taurus && Car price = 20000’

The output of this query would be Expression-id 1. The query is evaluated in the following manner:

The input data item is split into two data-item conditions, namely ‘Car name = taurus’, ‘Car price = 20000’. The binary operators are stored. In this simple Example the binary operator involved is, ‘&&’. Input data-items are evaluated using the Predicate Table. From Figure 3.7a above, it is noted that for the first Input data-item Predicate-id 1 is evaluated to true and for the second Input data-item Predicate-id 3 is evaluated to true.

There are two different approaches implemented to obtain the Predicate results,

- B+-tree
- Sequential approach
For the resulting Predicates the binary operator, && is applied. For this the Expression table from Figure 3.7b is used. It is observed that Expression-id 1 would evaluate to true since it has both the resulting Predicates.

When more than one binary operator is involved there is a slight difference that is explained below. In general the algorithm for evaluating the query is as follows:

**Algorithm:**

Split the entire input data-item into a set of data-items. Store the binary operators to be applied for the data-items. Evaluate each of the Input data-items using the Predicate table. For the resulting Predicates, apply the binary operators and get the resulting Expression-id’s from the Expression table.

Two approaches were implemented, B+-tree Search and Sequential Search. The difference between these approaches is the way the input data-item is evaluated. A B+-tree index is created on the Predicate table to make the process faster in the B+-tree Search approach. For the Sequential approach the Predicate results are found by traversing the Predicate table sequentially.

**Implementation Details:**

The step-by-step implementation details are provided for both the approaches, the difference between the two being the way the Predicates are evaluated.

**3.3.1.1 B+-tree Search:**

A B+-tree Index is created on the Predicate Table.
Step 1: Evaluate the Predicates

1.1 Get the input data-item to be evaluated.

1.2 Split the entire input data-item into individual data-items that are delimited by the binary operators. Store the binary operators for Step 2.

1.3 For each individual input data-item:

1.3.1 Each individual input data-item is in the form: ‘tablename columnname operator value’. Split the data-item to retrieve the tablename, columnname, operator and value.

1.3.2 If the value in the ‘value’ column is a numeric value, then go to step (1.3.2.1) else go to step (1.3.2.2). Step (1.3.2.1) does range search, step (1.3.2.2) does normal (equality) search.

1.3.2.1 Search in the B+-tree for the Predicates that have the tablename and columnname of the Input data-item being evaluated and store all such Predicate’s operator and value in a List. This List now contains the operator and value column values, split this into different lists, one containing the operators and the other with values. The List contains the operator and values sorted according to the operator. Also store the corresponding Predicate-id’s in a PredicateList. As the input data-item has operator ‘=’ then do:

Search for the current individual data-items ‘operator’ and ‘value’ in the List and if a record is present in the List add that Predicate-id to the result. For all the values with the ‘<’ operator in the List, find all values that are greater than the current individual data-item’s value and add all such Predicate-id’s to the result. For all the values with ‘>’
operator find all such values less than the current individual data-item’s value and add all such Predicate-id’s to the result.

1.3.2.2 Search in the B+-tree for the tablename, columnname, operator and value of the current individual data-item and give the corresponding Predicate-id of the Predicate that matches the above values as the result.

1.4 After finishing step 1.3 for all the Predicates, go to step 2 to apply binary operators on these results to get the overall result.

Step 2: Apply Binary operators

If the entire input data-item has just two individual data-items then step 2.1 else go to step 2.2.

2.1 If the query was in the form Predicate1 operator Predicate2 then the operator has to be applied on the Predicate results. Go to step 2.1.1.

2.1.1 Search for all such Expression-id’s in the Expression table such that all the Predicates of an Expression are from the results of the two Input Predicates and also such that there is at least one Predicate-id from each of the Predicate’s. Output all such Expression-id’s.

2.2 When the input data-item contains more than one operator, apply the first operator in step 2.1 for the first two individual data-items. The result of this is a set of Expression-id’s. The second operator is applied to these Expressions-id’s and the third individual data-item’s result. The Predicates from the Expressions are retrieved and stored in a List. The second
operator now is applied to the List and the results of the third data-item as in step 2.1. This process continues for all the operators in the input data-item.

Example 3.3.1.1:

Input Data Item: Evaluate car color = silver && car model = acura

Expression Table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ExpressionID</th>
<th>PredicateID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.8a Expression Table
## Predicate Table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PredicateID</th>
<th>TableName</th>
<th>ColumnName</th>
<th>Operator</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Car</td>
<td>Model</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>Acura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Dealer</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>CogginAuto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Bike</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Car</td>
<td>Model</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>Toyota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Bike</td>
<td>Model</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>CBR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Car</td>
<td>Color</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>Silver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Dealer</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>LA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3.8b Predicate Table**

The flow of the algorithm for the above Expression and Predicate Table scenario is as follows:

Initially B+-tree index is created on Predicate table above.

**Step 1**

1.1 Data Item: car color = silver && car model = acura

1.2 Tokenize the above data item into two data items: car color = silver and car model = acura.

Store the operators: ‘&&’

1.3 This step is for the above two data items:

   1. Car color = silver

   2. Car model = acura

For each of the above:

   1.3.1 Split the data items: car, color, = , silver and car, model, =, acura

   1.3.2 Now step 1.3.2.2 since normal search (Search in the B+-tree)
Predicate value for ‘Car color = silver’ above: 7

Predicate value for ‘Car model = acura’ above: 1

Step 2

Step 1 alone is performed as only two data items.

1. Exp 1 will be the result that could be looked up in the Expression table above.

Finally the result of the above input data item is Exp 1.

3.3.1.2 Sequential Search:

Step 1: Evaluate the data-item

1.1 Get the input data-item to be evaluated.

1.2 Split the entire input data-item into individual data-items that are delimited by the binary operators. Store the binary operators for Step 2.

1.3 For each individual data-item:

1.3.1 The data-item is in the form: ‘tablename columnname operator value’. Split each of the individual data-item to retrieve the tablename, columnname, operator and value.

1.3.2 If the value in the ‘value’ column is a numeric value, then go to step (1.3.2.1) else go to step (1.3.2.2). Step (1.3.2.1) does range search, step (1.3.2.2) does normal (equality) search.
1.3.2.1 Search in the table sequentially reading each line, for the Predicates that have the tablename and columnname of the Input data-item being evaluated and store all such Predicate’s operator and value in a List. This List now contains the operator and value column values, split this into different lists, one containing the operators and the other with values. Also store the corresponding Predicate-id’s in a PredicateList. As the input data-item has operator ‘=’ then do:

Search for the current individual data-items ‘operator’ and ‘value’ in the List and if a record is present in the List add that Predicate-id to the result. For all the values with the ‘<’ operator in the List, find all values that are greater than the current individual data-item’s value and add all such Predicate-id’s to the result. For all the values with ‘>’ operator find all such values less than the current individual data-item’s value and add all such Predicate-id’s to the result.

1.3.2.2 Search in the file sequentially for the tablename, columnname, operator and value of the current individual data-item and give the corresponding Predicate-id of the Predicate that matches the above values as the result.

1.4 After finishing step 1.3 for all the individual data-items, go to step 2 to apply binary operators on these results to get the overall result.

Step 2: Apply Binary operators
This step is done in the same way as explained before for B+-tree Search.

The above-mentioned Example (Example 3.3.1.1) can be used for the Sequential Approach too. The flow of the algorithm is the same except that the search in the Predicate table is performed sequentially unlike the B+ Tree index approach.
Chapter 4 Results

Results were calculated depending on the time taken for Evaluation of certain input data item with a varying size of Predicate table. A comparison of both the, Sequential approach and the B+ Tree approach, was done and the time taken for the Evaluation of the input data item.

Following Test Cases were considered:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Case</th>
<th>No. Of Rows in Predicate Table</th>
<th>Range/Equality Search</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>Range Search</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>Equality Search</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>Range Search</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>Equality Search</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>30000</td>
<td>Range Search</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>30000</td>
<td>Equality Search</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.1 Test Cases

Let us consider each Test Case at a time. A range search and an Equality search were performed in each test case. In a Range search one has to find a series of records, which satisfy the given condition. For Example if the input data item was Car year = 2000, then not only we look for a Predicate that is exact match of the input data item but we also look for Predicates like ‘Car year > 1999, Car Year < 2001, Car Year > 1995, Car Year < 2005’ etc. In Equality search we only
look for exact match of the input data item. So Equality Search is comparatively faster than the Range search.

4.1 System Specifications:

Here are the system specifications used in the development and testing of this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OS/Configuration</th>
<th>Windows XP, Pentium M 735,1.7GHz, 512MB RAM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Language</td>
<td>Java 1.4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Editor</td>
<td>NetBeansIDE 3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reporting tool</td>
<td>MS Excel Professional</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.2 System Specifications

The time calculated in each Test Case is in milliseconds. The time calculated here is the time taken for searching the Final Expressions. It doesn’t include other overhead times, like the time for loading the classes etc.

4.2 Test Cases

Case1:
Number of Rows in Predicate table = 5000 and for an input data item where a range Search is done.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No of INPUT data items</th>
<th>Example INPUT String</th>
<th>Time Taken in B-TREE approach (ms)</th>
<th>Time taken in Sequential approach (ms)</th>
<th>% Faster</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Car year = 2000</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>9.09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Car year = 2000 &amp;&amp;</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>0.95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Car price = 10000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Car year = 2000 &amp;&amp;</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>4.35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Car price = 10000 &amp;&amp;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Car miles = 5000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.3 Range Search on Predicate Table with 5000 rows.

The graphical representation of the above results can be shown as following:

![Graphical Representation](image)

Figure 4.1 Graphical Representation of Range Search (5000 rows)

Overview:

As the number of rows being very less, both the approaches work in almost the same way.
Case 2:

Number of Rows in Predicate table = 5000 and for an input data item where an Equality Search is done.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No of INPUT data items</th>
<th>Example INPUT String</th>
<th>Time Taken in B-TREE approach (ms)</th>
<th>Time taken in Sequential approach (ms)</th>
<th>% Faster</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Train name = Train145</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Train name = Train145 &amp;&amp; Train color = green</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>95.38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Car name = Car460 &amp;&amp; Car color = green &amp;&amp; Car bodystyle = suv</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>95.56%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.4 Equality Search on Predicate Table with 5000 rows.

The graphical representation of the above results can be shown as following:

![Graphical Representation of Equality Search (5000 rows)](image)

**Figure 4.2 Graphical Representation of Equality Search (5000 rows)**

**Overview:**

As it is Equality Search, so we need to search if the same Predicate is present or not. Using B Tree approach, it directly goes to the BPage, which has that record (if at all that record is present), whereas in sequential approach a row-by-row search is done which takes time.
Case 3:

Number of Rows in Predicate table = 10000 and for an input data item where a range Search is done.

| No of INPUT data items | Example INPUT String                      | Time Taken in B-TREE approach (ms) | Time taken in Sequential approach (ms) | % Faster  
|------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------
| 1                      | Car year = 2000                          | 105                                 | 144.2                                  | 27.18%    
| 2                      | Car year = 2000 && Car price = 10000     | 168.8                               | 220.4                                  | 23.41%    
| 3                      | Car year = 2000 && Car price = 10000 &&  | 223                                 | 282                                    | 20.92%    
|                        | Car miles = 5000                         |                                     |                                        |           

Table 4.5 Range Search on Predicate Table with 10000 rows.

The graphical representation of the above results can be shown as following:

Figure 4.3 Graphical Representation of Range Search (10000 rows)

Overview:

The B+ Tree approach takes lesser time than the sequential approach. Almost a 20% increase in efficiency can be seen.
Case 4:

Number of Rows in Predicate table = 10000 and for an input data item where an Equality Search is done.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No of INPUT data items</th>
<th>Example INPUT String</th>
<th>Time Taken in B-TREE approach (ms)</th>
<th>Time taken in Sequential approach (ms)</th>
<th>% Faster</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Train name = Train145</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>92.59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Train name = Train145 &amp;&amp;</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Train color = green</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Car name = Car460 &amp;&amp;</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Car color = green &amp;&amp;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Car bodystyle = suv</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.6 Equality Search on Predicate Table with 10000 rows

The graphical representation of the above results can be shown as following:

![Graphical Representation of Equality Search (10000 rows)](image)

Figure 4.4 Graphical Representation of Equality Search (10000 rows)

Overview:

As the size of the table is now 10000, the performance of Sequential Approach becomes much worse when compared to the B+ Tree approach.
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Case 5:

Number of Rows in Predicate table = 30000 and for an input data item where a Range Search is done.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No of INPUT data items</th>
<th>Example INPUT String</th>
<th>Time Taken in B-TREE approach (ms)</th>
<th>Time taken in Sequential approach (ms)</th>
<th>% Faster</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Car year = 2000</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>45.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Car year = 2000 &amp;&amp; Car price = 10000</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>30.51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Car year = 2000 &amp;&amp; Car price = 10000 &amp;&amp; Car miles = 5000</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>21.53%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.7 Range Search on Predicate Table with 30000 rows.

The graphical representation of the above results can be shown as following:

![Graphical Representation of Range Search (30000 rows)](image)

**Figure 4.5 Graphical Representation of Range Search (30000 rows)**

**Overview:**

On an average around 30% increase in the performance can be seen with the B+ Tree approach. As there are 30000 rows sequential approach takes more time.
Case 6:
Number of Rows in Predicate table = 30000 and for an input data item where a Range Search is done.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No of INPUT data items</th>
<th>Example INPUT String</th>
<th>Time Taken in B-TREE approach (ms)</th>
<th>Time taken in Sequential approach (ms)</th>
<th>% Faster</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Train name = Train145</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Train name = Train145&amp;&amp; Train color = green</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>97.89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Car name = Car460 &amp;&amp; Car color = green &amp;&amp; Car bodystyle = suv</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>97.50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.8 Range Search on Predicate Table with 30000 rows.

The graphical representation of the above results can be shown as following:

![Graphical Representation](image)

Figure 4.6 Graphical Representation of Equality Search (30000 rows)

Overview:
As the table size is 30000, the B+ Tree approach takes comparatively no time when compared to Sequential approach.
4.3 Discussion of Results:

As we can see from all the above listed tables and graphs the B+ Tree approach performs better when compared to the Sequential approach. This Chapter enlightens the procedure involved in Equality search and Range Search.

NOTE:
The above results were calculated by passing a common data item several times to both the Sequential and B+ Tree approach, and by taking an average of those runs. A different set of results can be calculated by passing different input data items for a given Predicate table and by taking an average of all those results.

4.3.1 Equality Search:

When considering the Equality Searches, the B+ Tree approach performs far better than the Sequential Approach. This is because in the Sequential approach in order to find a particular record we have to go through every record from starting until or unless we reach to that particular record (if at all that record exists), which takes time. But when we are searching for a particular record using the B+ Tree search, then we directly go to the BPage that has that record. So it takes hardly any time to do this equality search process.

As the size of the file increases the searching takes more time for the Sequential approach as it has to go through more number of records, and hence we can see as the file size increases the
time taken by the B+ Tree approach doesn’t change much but the sequential approach takes more time. So irrespective of the file size, in Equality Search, B+ Tree approach performs very well when compared to the Sequential Approach.

4.3.2 Range Search:

By considering the results shown above it can be easily deduced that in an overall view B+ Tree perform better the Sequential approach.

As in the B+ Tree approach, index helps to get the searching process done faster when compared to the Sequential approach. But if the file size is less like 5000 rows then the difference can hardly be seen. As for small tables the sequential approach is also equally faster as the number of rows it has to search is very less, and hence the performance of both the approaches is almost the same. But as the table size increases we can see the performance of B+ Tree approach growing better and faster, which is because of the index. So if we can interpolate these results we can easily find that B+ Tree performs far better, and the index helps to get the Evaluation process done faster and in efficiently.
Chapter 5 Conclusion and Future work

5.1 Conclusion:

Expressions are used in a range of applications like Publish/Subscribe, Content based systems etc. as mentioned earlier in the introduction section. Use of indexing on Expressions can speed up all these applications. In this thesis we have defined more powerful model of storing and handling Expressions. The main differences from Oracle approach are:

- Expressions in our model can be defined over multiple tables.
- The DBA or the application developer doesn’t need to identify predicate groups.

This study also describes how data items can be evaluated using sequential search as well as using a B+ Tree indexing technique. The thesis also includes a detail performance study and implementation of B+ Tree indexing technique and it also portrays the increase in efficiency with the help of B+ Tree.

5.2 Future Work and possible Enhancements:

Future work includes enhancement in the searching technique in B+tree. One could think of enhancing the search process by reducing the number of reads to B+tree root node. A possible enhancement in the performance can be seen if the search for Predicates is done within the sub tree of the qualified BPage and fetching all the qualified records in a single read. A possible improve in the performance can be seen if the number of reads to the B+tree are reduced.
An interesting item for future work is to study the use of a different indexing scheme. For example, Oracle creates a Bitmap index for indexing its expressions. Even though Oracle’s expression model is different from the expression model used in this thesis, it will be interesting to see how building a Bitmap index on predicates performs when compared with the B+tree index employed in this thesis.
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